



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,253	08/19/2003	David F. Hepner	SJO920020114US1	4638

50668 7590 04/28/2005

LAW OFFICE OF IDO TUCHMAN (SJO)
69-60 108TH STREET
SUITE 503
FOREST HILLS, NY 11375

EXAMINER

LE, JOHN H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2863

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HA

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,253	HEPNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John H. Le	2863	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John H. Le. (3) _____

(2) Attorney Ido Tuchman. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 07 April 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims 1, 8, 13, 17, 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: USP 6,104,304 and USP 5,781,024.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues that the cited prior arts fails to teach "determining if the measured current draw is outside a pass range for the measured environmental condition". Examiner explains how the prior meets claims 1, 8, 13, 17, 23. Examiner suggested the applicant amend the limitation "environmental condition" for more specifically, such an amendment would overcome the current rejection. However, examiner will provide a further search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required